# TSD File Inventory Index

Date:	June	5 2001
	1	/
Initial.	am 1	1 100

Facility Name: Lockton Leave leaper	ıπ	m (General Wet Democra)	
Facility Identification Number: /L/) 000			
A.1 General Correspondence		B.2 Permit Docket (B.1.2)	
A.2 Part A / Interim Status A.2	i de	.1 Correspondence	
.1 Correspondence	V	.2 All Other Permitting Documents (Not Part of the ARA)	·
.2 Notification and Acknowledgment		C.1 Compliance - (Inspection Reports)	
.3 Part A Application and Amendments		C.2 Compliance/Enforcement	Name of Street, or other Designation of Street, or other Desig
.4 Financial Insurance (Sudden, Non Sudden)		.1 Land Disposal Restriction Notifications	
.5 Change Under Interim Status Requests		.2 Import/Export Notifications	
.6 Annual and Biennial Reports		C.3 FOIA Exemptions - Non-Releasable Documents	ANA
A.3 Groundwater Monitoring		D.1 Corrective Action/Facility Assessment	
.1 Correspondence	**	.1 RFA Correspondence	
.2 Reports A 3.4, A.35	2	.2 Background Reports, Supporting Docs and Studies	
A.4 Closure/Post Closure		.3 State Prelim. Investigation Memos	100000000000000000000000000000000000000
.1 Correspondence	1	.4 RFA Reports	www.
.2 Closure/Post Closure Plans, Certificates, etc		D. 2 Corrective Action/Facility Investigation	THE CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF
A.5 Ambient Air Monitoring		.1 RFI Correspondence	
.1 Correspondence		.2 RFI Workplan	
.2 Reports		.3 RFI Program Reports,and Oversight	
B.1 Administrative Record		.4 RFI Draft /Final Report	

Tetal -5

All Company and the Company an	ennuckanmen	on the second se	
.5 RFI QAPP		.7 Lab data, Soil Sampling/Groundwater	l
.8 RFI QAPP Correspondence		.8 Progress Reports	
.7 Lab Data, Soil-Sampling/Groundwater		D.5 Corrective Action/Enforcement	· ·
.8 RFI Progress Reports		.1 Administrative Record 3008(h) Order	No. of Contrast of
.9 Interim Messures Correspondence	A PARTICION OF THE PROPERTY OF	.2 Other Non-AR Documents	
.10 Interim Measures Workplan and Reports		D.S Environmental Indicator Determinations	
D.3 Corrective Action/Remediation Study		.1 Forms/Checklists	
.1 CMS Correspondence		E. Bollers and Industrial Furnaces (MF)	
.2 Interim Measures		.1 Correspondence	-
3 CMS Westplan		.2 Reports	Name and Associated Supplemental Supplementa
.4 CMS Draft/Final Report		F Imagery/Special Studies (Videos, photos, disks, maps, blueprints, drawings, and other special materials.)	Antrope, parternment/(0) temethink
		G.1 Risk Assessment	# Stephenson Stephenso
.5 CMS Progress Reports		.1 Human/Ecological Assessment	
.7 Lab Data, Sol-Sampling/Groundwater		2 Compliance and Enforcement	
D.A Corrective Action Remediation Implementation		.3 Enforcement Confidential	
.1 CMI Correspondence		.4 Ecological - Administrative Record	
.2 CMI Workplan		.5 Permitting	
3 CMI Program Reports and Oversight		.6 Corrective Action Remediation Study	
. 4 CMI Draft/Finel Reports		.7 Comestive Action/Remarkation Implementation	- Albanyanyan
.5 CMI QAPP		.8 Endengered Species Act	
.8 CMI Correspondence		.9 Environmental Justice	
	Hemi Salessierier		,

Make.	T STREET, STRE	200 mail 1	 , Colon	Included	named in	
<b>小小小小小</b>	k a @## #488# #1		W-04	開始物物語為孫		LASSING ASS
990.						

Holmstrom&Kennedy

XC USCA I RECKFORD REG MICRITES D. VIRGIN KILOUGT

08214 TOFICE

2010305243 -- LUINNERSAGE Co Erha-ilt & Leiner

12 DOS 5071849

January 3, 1992

Mr. Kenneth Lovett
Mr. Kenneth W. Liss
Groundwater Assistance Unit
Permit Section
Division of Land Pollution Control
Illinois Environmental Protection Agency
2200 Churchill Road
Springfield, IL 62706

Re: Erhardt + Leimer, Inc.; 2010305243-Winnebago County

Dear Messrs. Lovett and Liss:

I represent Erhardt + Leimer, Inc., and am writing to you regarding the Pre-Enforcement Conference Letter dated December 3, 1991, which your agency sent to my client. In response to that letter, I spoke with Mr. Lovett and indicated that Erhardt + Leimer's environmental consultant, Mr. Bob Knox, of Missman, Stanley & Associates was unavailable to meet on the date suggested by your correspondence. In a follow-up conversation with Mr. Lovett, he invited me to write to you and indicated that a date during the week of January 6 or 13 would be alright with the agency.

\*\*Figure 1.4.4.2.\*\*

\*\*ADM 2.\*\*

\*\*ADM 2.\*\*

\*\*ADM 2.\*\*

\*\*ADM 2.\*

\*\*ADM 2.\*\*

\*\*ADM 2.\*

I am writing to propose a meeting at your office in Springfield, on January 15, at 1:00 p.m. My client, Bob Knox, of Missman, Stanley & Associates, and I plan on attending. At that time, we hope to address any concerns which the agency may have regarding the activities which have been undertaken to remediate this site.

Please contact me in the event that date or time is inconvenient for the agency or if there is specific information which you wish to address at that meeting.

Very truly yours,

HOLMSTROM & KENNEDY, P.C.

Bryan G. Selander

BGS/mrg

RECEIVED

JAN 0 6 1997

IEPA-DLPC



## Illinois Environmental Protection Agency P. O. Box 19276, Springfield, IL 62794-9276

217/782-6761

Refer to: 2010305243 -- Winnebago County

Rockford/Erhardt & Leimer, Inc. (ELI)

IL0005071899 Compliance File

DEC 1 1 1991

OFFICE OF RCRA Waste Management Division U.S. EPA, REGION V.

## PRE-EMFORGEMENT CONFERENCE LETTER

Certified # PG81 208 577

December 3, 1991

Erhardt & Leimer, Inc. 4960 - 28th Avenue Post Office Box 5767 Rockford, Illinois 61125-0767

Dear Mr. Tackaberry:

The Agency has previously informed Erhardt & Leimer, Inc. of apparent violations of the Illinois Environmental Protection Act and/or rules and regulations adopted thereunder. These apparent violations are set forth in Attachment A of this letter.

As a result of these apparent violations, it is our intent to refer this matter to the Agency's legal staff for the preparation of a formal enforcement case. The Agency's legal staff will, in turn, refer this matter to the Office of Attorney General or to the United States Environmental Protection Agency for the filing of a formal complaint.

Prior to taking such action, however, you are requested to attend a Pre-Enforcement Conference to be held at the Illinois Environmental Protection Agency, 2200 Churchill Road, Springfield, Illinois. The purpose of this Conference will be:

- To discuss the validity of the apparent violations noted by Agency staff, and
- 2. To arrive at a program to eliminate existing and/or future violations.

You should, therefore, bring such personnel and records to the conference as will enable a complete discussion of the above items. We have scheduled the Conference for December 18, 1991, at 10:00 a.m. If this arrangement is inconvenient, you may arrange for an alternative date and time.

In addition, please be advised that this letter constitutes the notice required by Section 31(d) of the Illinois Environmental Protection Act prior to the filing of a formal complaint. The cited Section of the Illinois Environmental Protection Act requires the Agency to inform you of the charges



Page 2

unich are to be alleged and offer you the opportunity to meet with appropriate officials within thirty days of this notice date in an effort to resolve such conflict which could lead to the filing of formal action.

If either the above mentioned conference date or time is inconvenient or if you have any questions regarding this letter, please contact Ken Lovett at 217/782-6761.

Sincerely,

Bill Radhricki E. William Radlinski, Manager Planning and Reporting Section Division of Land Pollution Control

EWR: KOL: DV: rlc/3570q,84-85

Attachment

cc: Division File Rockford Region USEPA Region V Ken Lovett Deanne Virgin



#### Attachment A

- 1. Pursuant to 35 Ill. Adm. Code 725.193(d)(4), the owner or operator must implement the groundwater quality assessment plan which satisfies the requirements of paragraph (d)(3) and, at a minimum, determine:
  - The rate and extent of migration of the hazardous waste or hazardous waste constituents in the groundwater; and
  - The concentrations of the hazardous waste or hazardous waste 8. constituents in the groundwater.

You are in apparent violation of 35 Ill. Adm. Code 725.193(d)(4) for the following reason(s):

- An adequate response to the May 15, 1991 letter from the Agency regarding rate and extent of contaminant migration and the groundwater recovery system has not been received by the Agency. The August 1, 1991 response did not address Item 1, rate and extent of contaminant migration.
- 2. Second Quarter 1991 Groundwater Monitoring report data continues to reflect groundwater quality to contain bazardous waste or hazardous waste constituents at levels above established clean-up objectives. An evaluation of the rate and extent of contaminant migration was not included in the report.

DV:rlc/3570q.87





217/782-6761

Refer to: 2010305243 -- Winnebago County

Rockford/Erhardt & Leimer, Inc. (ELI)

ILD005071899 Compliance File

### COMPLIANCE INQUIRY LETTER

Certified #P 681 207 918

September 17, 1991

Erhardt & Leimer, Inc. Attn: Gary Tackaberry 4960 - 28th Avenue Box 5767 Rockford, Illinois 61125-0767

Dear Mr. Tackaberry:

The purpose of this letter is to address the status of the above-referenced facility in relation to the requirements of 35 Ill. Adm. Code Part 725, Subpart F and to inquire as to your position with respect to the apparent violations identified in Attachment A and your plans to correct these apparent violations.

The Agency's findings of apparent non-compliance in Attachment A are based on a September 11, 1991 review of documents submitted to the Agency to demonstrate compliance with the requirements of Subpart F, and specifically upon review of the 1st and 2nd Quarter 1991 Groundwater Monitoring reports submitted by Fehr-Graham and Associates.

Please submit in writing, within fifteen (15) calendar days of the date of this letter, the reasons for the identified violations, a description of the steps which have been taken to correct the violations and a schedule, including dates, by which each violation will be resolved. The written response, and two copies of all documents submitted in reply to this letter, should be sent to the following:

Deanne Virgin
Compliance Unit
Planning and Reporting Section
Illinois Environmental Protection Agency
Division of Land Pollution Control
2200 Churchill Road
Post Office Box 19276
Springfield, Illinois 62794-9276



Page 2

Further, take notice that non-compliance with the requirements of the Illinois Environmental Protection Act and rules and regulations adopted thereunder may be the subject of enforcement action pursuant to either the Illinois Environmental Protection Act, III. Rev. Stat., Ch. 111 1/2, Sec. 1001 et seq. or the federal Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA), 42 U.S.C. Sec. 6901 et seq.

If you have any questions regarding the above, please contact Ken Lovett at 217/782-6761.

Sincerely,

Brian S. White, Manager Compliance Unit Planning and Reporting Section Division of Land Pollution Control

BSW:KOL:DV:jar/2781q.84-85

cc: Division File Rockford Region USEPA Region V Dave Deisher Ken Lovett Deanne Virgin



#### Attachment A

- Pursuant to 35 Ill. Adm. Code 725.193(d)(4), the owner or operator must implement the groundwater quality assessment plan which satisfies the 1. requirements of paragraph (d)(3) and, at a minimum, determine:
  - A. The rate and extent of migration of the hazardous waste or hazardous waste constituents in the groundwater; and
  - B. The concentrations of the hazardous waste or hazardous waste constituents in the groundwater.

You are in apparent violation of 35 Ill. Adm. Code 725,193(d)(4) for the following reason(s):

- 1. An adequate response to the May 15, 1991 letter from the Agency regarding rate and extent of contaminant migration and the groundwater recovery system has not been received by the Agency. The August 1, 1991 response did not address Item 1, rate and extent of contaminant migration.
- 2. Second Quarter 1991 Groundwater Monitoring report data continues to reflect groundwater quality to contain hazardous waste or hazardous waste constituents at levels above established clean-up objectives. An evaluation of the rate and extent of contaminant migration was not included in the report.

DV:jar/27819.85

#### UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY **REGION V**

DATE: JAN 8 0 1997 Reconfer Control

SUBJECT: General Web Dynamics

ILD 005 071 899

FROM: Joseph M. Boyle, Chief (

Illinos/Indiana Unit

RCRA Enforcement Section'

TO:

Compliance File

In our monthly enforcement conference call on January 13, 1987, Gary King, Attorney Advisor with the Illinois Environmental Protection Agency's Division of Land Pollution Control, suggested that I discuss the subject facility and its possible characterization as a land disposal facility with Glen Savage, IEPA's Manager of the Field Operations Section.

In a January 21, 1987, telephone conversation, Mr. Savage described the situation resulting from IEPA's investigation of an oil spill on the ground near a container storage area where 12 drums of spent 1,1,1trichloroethane were observed. Subsequent core samples and monitoring wells confirmed the presence of 1,1,1- trichloroethane. Apparently, General Web Dynamics had not obtained interim status.

Mr. Savage and I concluded that continued use of State authorities to effect clean-up is the appropriate course of action, and that inclusion of General Web Dynamics on the list of land disposal facilities is not warranted, based on apparent contamination incident to operation of a container facility.

cc: J. Mayka

M. Murphy

R. Kolzow